NIST BOULDER EDITORIAL REVIEW BOARD

Manuscript Review Form

Form BL-7A (Rev. 1/89)

Section I: To be completed by author

A. Manuscript Title: Quantum Randomness from Probability Estimation with Classical Side Info					
B. Principal NIST Author: E. Knill Ext.: 6263					
Co-authors: Y. Zhang, P. Bierhorst, S. Glancy					
C. Intended Publication Medium: QCRYPT17					
D. AUTHOR! Please respond to questions below by checking the appropriate boxes. Explain any "NO" answers and give appropriate manuscript page numbers.					
Does					
Not					
Yes No Apply					
1. ⊠ □ Is manuscript free from trade names (product, company, process)?					
2. ॼ □ □ Are SI units used throughout?					
3. ☑ □ □ When numbers are reported, are accuracy/precision statements made?					
4. □ 国 Is intended medium published in United States?					
5. □ ⊠ □ If non-NIST publication, does manuscript show copyright disclaimer?					
6. ☑ □ Is this the first publication of this manuscript?					
7. □ □ Is copyrighted material used by permission and acknowledged?					

CECTION II. To	he seminleted	by ravious
SECTION II: To	pe completed	by reviewer

TO THE REVIEWER: To promote effective and acc accompanying manuscript carefully. The following comments in the space below. Please comment especially.	:hecklist may assist your e	evaluation. Give	view the further		
1.Technical soundness and reliability 2.Organization 3.Writing quality 4.Title (clear, concise, complete) 5.Abstract (adequate, informative) 6.Results (clear) 7.Discussion (relevant) 8.Conclusions (clear) 9.References (necessary and sufficient) 10.Figures and tables (adequate, acceptable qualit	Good V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Adequate	Needs Improvement		
Which aspects of the manuscript lie outside your are	ea of expertise?	11	and t		
I'm a theoretician, while	the abstruct	addvelses	applications		
to experiments.	Low High				
Rating of your familiarity with the subject Overall rating of technical content Overall rating of manuscript preparation	0 1 2 3 4 5				
Further comments: (Check here if you attach additional sheets.)					
Pages 1-2 are very clear - I didn't follow all					
of page 3, but given space constraints I think					
is's a good abstract					
Some phrases Inotation that could be clarified:					
· Pp. 1 (bottom): "In the above analysis we assume" - meaning ref. [87?					
· Pp. 2: "T; is measurable with respect to Rs;"					
· Pp.3: The notation	n "IPB"				
Reviewer's name and affiliation (NIST Div. #):	Telephone:				
(print or type) Carl Miller, 773	301-9	75-531	06		
email address: Carl. miller @ nist.gov	Date: 4 / 2	1/17			
Recommendation:					
	Publish with optionalDo not publish	revisions			